UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
EASTERN	District of	TENNESSEE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
V.	ORD	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
FREDYS I. RODRIGUEZ	Case Nur	nber: 1:05-CR-72
Defendant		
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.		
	rt I—Findings of Fa	
 (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a		
an offense for which a maximum term of impriso		
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A) X (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) & (b)(1)(A), § 846 under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). X (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B) (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		
Part II—Writte	n Statement of Reason	ons for Detention
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by X clear and convincing evidence a prepon-		
derance of the evidence that		
find clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger		
of flight. Defendant is indicted for offenses which trigger the rebuttable presumption under 18 U.S.C. 3142(e). Based on the nature of the instant offense which is inherently dangerous to the community, the continuing nature of the offenses alleged in the indictment or shown by proof,		
the assessment of the pretrial services officer, the rebuttable presumption, and the strength of the evidence in the instant offense, I conclude		
that defendant is a danger to the community and a risk of non-appearance and that there are no conditions or combinations of conditions that can assure defendant's appearance or the safety of any other person and the community. Defendant must therefore be detained without bail.		
sair assure determined a appearance of the sairety of any other p	organization community). Detoridant mast interest of the detailed winter built
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Co to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving se reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense of	entences or being held in counsel. On order of a	representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the t to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
Date		Signature of Judge

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section I of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Susan K. Lee, United States Magistrate Judge
Name and Title of Judge